UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,256	01/14/2005	Garry George Graham	47-216	7135
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 10/26/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,256	GRAHAM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen L. Rawlings	1643		

	Stephen L. Rawlings	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavioal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(r).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>15 October 2009</u>. A brithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 6-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4 and 11-17</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bur See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2009080</u>	<u>)4</u>	
o. V Galot. Goo Communication Officer.			

/Stephen L. Rawlings/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Entry of the proposed amendment would raise new issue that would require further consideration and search since, for example, claim 1 would be amended to recite a limitation that the sPLA polypeptide to which the claims are directed be a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 3. In addition, entry of the amendment would raise the issue of new matter since it is not apparent that the specification, including the claims, as originally presented, would provide written support for the limitation that the sPLA polypeptide to which the claims are directed be a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 3. This is, in part, because the specification does not appear to describe the IIA isoform of sPLA2, per se, to be a polypeptide having this amino acid sequence. Notably, the proposed amendment is not without merit and while it might simplify the issues for appeal by obviating some of the rejections of record, it is believed that its entry would not likely overcome the art rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), in particular, but might, at best, require that these rejections be restated - this however cannot be ascertatined without the need for additional reconsideration and/or searches, which were not before necessary.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration is predicated upon entry of the proposed amendment; therefore, since the amendment has not been entered, the request is presently moot.

Continuation of 13. Other: The information disclosure filed August 4, 2009, has been considered. An initialed copy is enclosed. Notably, the disclosure statement lists a "Supplementary European Search Report". The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).